

StEER QUICK REFERENCE SHEET: ACTIVATION PROTOCOL

Response Level	Personnel Model	Products
Level 1: Virtual Data Gathering	VAST (Virtual) assembling of available data, news and media	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preliminary Virtual Reconnaissance Report (PVRR)
Level 2: Rapid Field Assessment	FAST - 1 (Scout) with rapid imaging capability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Early Access Reconnaissance Report (EARR) Curated dataset (I)
Level 3: Detailed Field Assessment	FAST - 2 (Field Investigation) with in-depth assessment capability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data Paper Curated dataset (II)

Level 1: Major hazard event with potential to generate new knowledge <i>meets majority of the following criteria:</i>		
Hazard	Exposure	Feasibility
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major intensity event (e.g., EF4, Cat 3, M5.5, Tsunami alert issued) Long-duration events (e.g., slow moving lower intensity hurricane) Notable joint/compounding hazards Succession of events (e.g., sequence of earthquakes/aftershocks, tornado outbreak, multiple hurricanes in a season) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficiently populated area to create measurable impacts Noteworthy code or construction practices (e.g., test of revised codes, mitigation measures/retrofits) Critical infrastructure Under-documented structure classes Existence of models or measurements, e.g., instrumented structures, digital twins, testbeds Communities with history of recovery OR those rarely exposed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability/interest of members Sufficient media/social media coverage on event, including the potential to automate mining of information Bandwidth of StEER support team (e.g., multiple concurrent responses)
Level 2: Major hazard event with evidence of the ability to generate new knowledge <i>meets majority of the following criteria:</i>		
Hazard	Exposure	Feasibility
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design-level event (hazard intensity meets or exceeds code requirements) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant loss of life Highly vulnerable structures with 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of necessary equipment (e.g., imaging hardware)

<p>and/or corresponding Mean Recurrence Intervals within PBE framework)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hazard characteristics are unique (verified upon inspection of field observations/records) 	<p>severe damage or collapse and/or highly engineered structures with lower damage states</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurring cases of nonstructural damage and potential of prolonged downtime and recovery • For international: construction practices consistent with or analogous to US practice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Driving access to affected areas (e.g., curfews or restrictions can be navigated) • Safety (security, public health, etc.) • Availability/interest of members near impacted region • Availability of sufficient support from regional nodes • Deployment of other EERs or other initiatives collecting field observations
--	---	---

Level 3: Major hazard event with **identified** knowledge gaps *meets majority of the following criteria:*

Hazard	Exposure	Feasibility
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some aspects of the event exceed code requirements (above code wind/ground shaking/coastal flooding, etc.) • Site-specific hazards require up-close evaluation, e.g., evidence of ground failures, scour, debris fields, localized wind effects, treefall patterns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance/failures observed requires direct access to load path or other details to ascertain causes • Identification of multiple structures suitable for in-depth forensic investigation • Extent of damage (greater than localized Level 2 event) requiring multiple field teams or multiple field visits • Full range of damage levels present for fragility function development. • Variety of building typologies, occupancies, code eras • Conditions conducive to longitudinal studies of community response and recovery • Availability of as-built and retrofitted structures • Evidence of structural performance affecting community resilience • Sufficient instrumented structures and sensor data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-site/up-close access to targeted structures is anticipated • Availability/interest of members with logistics plan to travel to site (including international locations with visa and other travel restrictions) • <i>For international: Availability of local colleagues/engineers/sources to facilitate and guide the planned visits</i>